

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,681	09/05/2003		Jamir Pagnoncelli	03-565	8561
34704	7590	04/21/2004		EXAM	INER
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.				JOHNSON, STEPHEN	
900 CHAPEL STREET SUITE 1201			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510				3641	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,681	PAGNONCELLI, JAMIR
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen M. Johnson	3641
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>02 February 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	½ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ a to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the algorithms algorithm	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in a he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	o □	Summany (DTO 442)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 10/656,681

Art Unit: 3641

1. The drawings are objected to because numerical indicator 23 (page 4, line 19) is not illustrated. The drawings are objected to because fig. 9 contains a lead line without a corresponding numerical indicator. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the special key (see claim 1) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 lacks terminology to indicate where the preamble of the claim ends and the body of the claim begins. Further, claim 1 lacks terminology to indicate whether the claim is intended to be open-ended, close-ended, etc. in nature (e.g. comprising, including, consisting of).

Claim 1, lines 1-2, claims "a plain serrated face of the hammer". However, this structure is not a portion of the safety device but rather is an integral portion of the gun. In claim 1, lines 2-3, the phrases "the hammer"; "the back"; "the frame"; "the whole movement"; "the round head"; "the safety"; and "the hole" lack antecedents. In claim 1, line 3, a hole in what structure is intended by the phrase "the hole"? In claim 1, lines 4-6, the phrases "the head"; "the prolonged

Application/Control Number: 10/656,681

Art Unit: 3641

cylindrical body"; and "said base" lack antecedents. In claim 1, line 4, use of the term "bigger diameter" makes the claim indefinite as to a bigger diameter that what other diameter is intended for comparison. In claim 1, line 6, "a hexagonal opening" in what structure is intended? In claim 1. lines 8-9, the phrases "the higher face" and "said cylindrical head" lack antecedents. In claim 1, line 8, use of the phrase "having rounded edges" makes the claim indefinite as to whose rounded edges are intended. In claim 1, line 10, the phrases "the safety positioning system"; and "the hammer" lack antecedents. In claim 1, line 10, what structural item is intended to correspond to term "its"? In claim 1, line 11, whose face is intended by the claimed "internal cylindrical face"? In claim 1, line 12, diametrically opposed to what are intended (locations, sides, faces, ?)? In claim 1, lines 15-16, it is not understood as to how special key (unillustrated) can be located in the lower edge of cylindrical body 5 to turn the safety 180 degrees. In claim 1, line 16, a hole in what structural item is intended by the phrase "a hole"? In claim 1, line 16, a plain recess in what structural item is intended by the phrase "a plain recess"? In claim 1, line 17, the phrases "the radius" and "the stopping pin" lack antecedents. In claim 1, applicant claims a "special key". It is not understood as to what features of this unillustrated key make it special. Further, it is not understood as to what portion of the safety interacts with the special key to result in a functional device. Please clarify.

- 4. Claim 1 is too indefinite (see paragraph 3 above) in its current form to make a determination regarding patentable subject matter.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Curry et al., Beretta, Center, Kleinpaul, Doiron, Ducommun, Kuckens et al., and Mauser-Werke Akt.-Ges. disclose state of the art safeties.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/656,681

Art Unit: 3641

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be

reached on 703-306-4198. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-

306-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9326. The fax phone number for after final communications is (703) 872-

9327.

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON

Tephe ben

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

**SMJ**